United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,370	07/08/2003	John J. Light	42P16121	1226
Q.,,.	7590 01/16/200 KOLOFF TAYLOR &	EXAMINER		
12400 WILSHI	RE BOULEVARD	SON, LINH L D		
SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2135	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE D		DELIVER	Y MODE
. 3 MONTHS		01/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/616,370	LIGHT ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Linh LD Son ~	2135		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Set 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	•		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. r election requirement.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed an applicant may not request that any objection to the expense Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the contract of the	epted or b) objected to by the to discount of the legislation of the legislation of the drawing (s) is object of the drawing (s) is	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responding to the file of the application received on 09/22/06.

2. Claims 1-21 are pending.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see Amendment, filed 09/22/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-21 under 35 USC \$ 103 rejection basis have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Haperen and Juels, and Gilchrist.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2135

5. Claims 1, 4-7, 9, 12-14, 16, 18, 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peter Van Haperen, GB 2313460 A (Cited in PTO 1449 dated 09/22/06), hereinafter "Haperen".

6. As per claims 1, 9, and 16:

Haperen discloses "A method of authenticating a user attempting to access a portable computing device comprising:

"causing a sequence of images to be shown on a display" in Figure 2;

"accepting an input selection from the user indicating that a currently displayed image of the sequence of images corresponds to a portion of the user's password, the user's password being known by the portable computing device" in (Fig 2, last 5 lines on page 3);

"correlating the input selection to the currently displayed image; and allowing access to the portable computing device when the input selection and the currently displayed image temporally correspond to the portion of the user's password" in (First Paragraph on page 4).

However, Haperen does not teach the sequence of images corresponds to a portion of the user's password.

Nevertheless, Haperen discloses a sequence of images is a password.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made for one having ordinary skill in the art to realize that a portion of the user's password can be broadly interpreted as a whole or entire portion of a password.

Art Unit: 2135

7. As per claims 4 and 12:

Harperen discloses "The method of claims 1 and 9, further comprising setting the user password in the portable computing device prior to causing the sequence of images to be shown on the display" in (page 6, first paragraph).

8. As per claims 5, 18, and 13;

Haperen discloses "The method of claims 1, 16, and 9, wherein each image of the sequence of images comprises a plurality of symbols, the symbols comprising at least one of alphanumeric characters, playing card values, sports team logos, company logos, cartoon characters, and photographs, and each password portion comprises at least one symbol" in (Figure 1).

9. As per claims 6 and 14:

Haperen discloses "The method of claims 1 and 9, further comprising causing the display of visual feedback to the user when a portion of the user's password is correctly selected" in (Figure 2).

10. As per claims 7 and 15:

Juels discloses "The method of claims 1 and 9, wherein the display is part of a computing system un-trusted by the user" in (Page 5 last paragraph).

Art Unit: 2135

11. As per claim 20:

Haperen discloses "The portable computing device of claim 16, further comprising a button for entering the input selection by the user, the button indicating one of activation and non-activation" (on page 3 last 2 sentences).

12. As per claim 21:

Haperen discloses "The portable computing device of claim 16, wherein the memory stores the user's password and the sequence of images" on (Page 2, first paragraph).

13. Claims 2 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haperen in view of Gilchrist, US Patent No. 6950949B1.

14. As per claims 2 and 10;

Haperen does not discloses "The method of claims 1 and 9, wherein the password comprises a plurality of portions, and further comprising repeating the causing, accepting and correlating for each of the plurality of password portions, and allowing access to the portable computing device when all input selections correlated to displayed images temporally correspond to the password"

Nevertheless, Gilchrist does discloses a method of entering multiple graphic passwords at once to fully authenticate in (Col 2 lines 45-50).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made for one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Haperen's invention to incorporate Gilchrist's

Art Unit: 2135

teaching of entering multiple set of graphical password to successfully authenticate.

The multiple entries can greatly increase the security in the authentication process.

15. Claims 3, 8, 11, 15, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haperen in view of Juels (cited in PTO 892 dated 09/22/06).

16. As per claims 3, 11, and 17:

Haperen does not disclose the method of claims 1, 9, and 16, wherein causing the sequence of images to be shown on a display comprises sending the sequence of images over a wireless link to a computing system including the display.

Nevertheless, Juels discloses "The method of claims 1, 9, and 16, wherein causing the sequence of images to be shown on a display comprises sending the sequence of images over a wireless link to a computing system including the display" in (Para 0059, The photographic authentication technique can implemented in Mobile phone, and etc..).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made for one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Haperen's invention to incorporate Juels' teaching of the picture authentication method in a mobile computing device environment for user friendly access with high protection.

17. As per claims 8, 19, and 15:

Haperen does not discloses "The method of claims 7 and 16, further comprising establishing an authenticated connection from the portable computing device to the

Application/Control Number: 10/616,370

Art Unit: 2135

un-trusted computing system including the display prior to causing the sequence of images to be shown on the display".

Nevertheless, Juels discloses "a method of establishing an authenticated connection from the portable computing device to the un-trusted computing system including the display prior to causing the sequence of images to be shown on the display" in (Para 0060) (The portable user device communicates with an enrollment system 110 for authentication).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made for one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Haperen's invention to incorporate Juels' teaching of the picture authentication method of a mobile computing device to an authorization party for user friendly access with high protection.

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linh LD Son whose telephone number is 571-272-3856. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6 (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on 571-272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,370

Art Unit: 2135

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Linh LD Son Examiner Art Unit 2135

" YKIMI VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100